Case 2:06-cv-02225-JFB-AKT Document 30-2 Filed 05/04/2007 Page 1 of 26

EXHIBIT A

PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP Robert L. Sherman (RS 5520) Rebecca Myers (RM8437) 75 East 55th Street New York, NY 10022 (212) 318-6000

Attorneys for Plaintiff FragranceNet.com, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FragranceNet.com, Inc.,

Plaintiff,

CV 06 2225 (JFB) (AKT)

- against -

FragranceX.com Inc. and John Does 1-20

Defendant.

[PROPOSED]
THIRD AMENDED
COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

Plaintiff FragranceNet.com, Inc. ("FragranceNet" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its attorneys Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, as and for its Third Amended Complaint against defendants FragranceX.com ("Defendant" or "FragranceX") and John Does 1-20 ("John Does") alleges as follows, upon actual knowledge with respect to itself and its own acts and upon information and belief as to all other matters:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action to recover for the damage caused by, and to prevent further damage arising from, Defendant's and John Does' acts of copyright, trademark infringement, trademark dilution, violation of New York General Business Law §133, state law dilution, injury to business reputation, common law unfair competition and misappropriation, passing off and unjust enrichment.

- 2. FragranceNet owns and operates an on-line retail store that sells perfumery and related products. This action arises out of Defendant's taking, misappropriating and copying more than nine hundred (900) photographs from FragranceNet's Web site for use on its competing Web site for sales of perfume and related products, the use of FragranceNet's trademark in the metatags underlying its Web site and Defendant's bidding on, purchase and use of keywords in Google's Adwords program with the full knowledge that they will cause Defendant to appear as a "Sponsored Link" when a consumer types "fragrance net," "fragrancenet.com" or other variations of FragranceNet's mark into an Internet keyword search. Defendant's acts are without permission from or compensation to FragranceNet. Defendant has generated substantial revenue as a result of the use of FragranceNet's photographs and trademark to FragranceNet's great and irreparable harm.
- 3. On information and belief, Defendant also has provided the Photographs to others for use in connection with the sale or distribution of perfumery and related products. The identities of those entities currently are unknown, and are listed as defendants John Does 1-20 herein. On discovery of the true identities of John Does 1-20, plaintiff intends to amend this complaint to list them as parties. On information and belief, Defendant and John Does 1-20 have generated substantial revenue as a result of their use of the Photographs, to FragranceNet's great and irreparable harm.
- FragranceNet seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief and 4. compensatory and punitive damages based on Defendant's and John Does' copyright infringement, Defendant's contributory infringement thereto, and Defendant's acts of trademark infringement, dilution, misappropriation, and unfair competition in violation of the laws of the United States and the State of New York.

5. This action arises under the copyright laws of the United States, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq., the trademark, unfair competition and dilution laws of the United States, Sections 43(a) and 43(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) and (c), under § 133 of the New York Business Laws as well as the common law of the State of New York.

PARTIES

- FragranceNet is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business at 6. 104 Parkway Drive South, Hauppauge, NY 11788.
- On information and belief, defendant FragranceX is a New York corporation 7. having a business address of 49-09 5th Street, Long Island City, NY 11101.
- 8. On information and belief, defendants John Does 1-20 are entities or individuals associated or affiliated with defendant FragranceX and which, or who, participated in the wrongful acts and infringement alleged herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 9. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over FragranceX because it resides in this 10. district and because Defendant's and John Does' tortious conduct takes place and causes FragranceNet injury in this state and district.
 - Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 11.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

FragranceNet is in the business of selling perfumery and related goods via its on-12. line retail store posted at www.fragrancenet.com. That Web site has been in operation since

January 1997, and, by virtue of FragranceNet's efforts and expenditures, has become one of the most successful on-line perfume stores in the United States.

- 13. FragranceNet has expended significant time, effort and money to develop its online retail store.
- 14. In developing its business, FragranceNet has created hundreds of photographs of the products it offers for sale. The background, arrangement, lighting, use of shadow, angle and selection of products within each line to be included within the photographs are among the original elements contributed to the photographs.
- 15. FragranceNet is the author and copyright owner with respect to the photographs, which are displayed on its Web site so that consumers may see what is offered for sale, and to reassure consumers that they are purchasing genuine and high quality products.
- 16. FragranceNet registered its rights in and to more than 3,200 of the photographs and obtained from the Register of Copyrights, Certificates of Copyright Registration Nos. VA 1-340-784, VA 1-340-783, and VA 1-340-782, dated February 21, 2006. Copies of the foregoing registration certificates are annexed hereto as Exhibit A.
- 17. FragranceNet is, and at all relevant times has been, the owner of the copyrights in and to the photographs.
- 18. At some time prior to August 1, 2005, without FragranceNet's authorization,
 Defendant copied more than nine hundred (900) copyrighted photographs (the "Photographs")
 from FragranceNet's Web site and posted them on the Web site of its competing on-line
 fragrance store, www.fragranceX.com. Annexed hereto as Exhibit B are representative
 examples of the Photographs, each followed by a screenshot from Defendant's Web site showing
 Defendant's infringing copy of the Photograph. Annexed hereto as Exhibit C is a list of the

infringing photographs, by brand and the gender for which the product is intended. On information and belief, Defendant posts only one photo per product on its Web site.

- 19. On August 1, 2005, FragranceNet formally notified Defendant that it was infringing FragranceNet's rights in and to its copyright protected Photographs and demanded that Defendant cease and desist from all further infringement. At that time, Defendant failed and refused to do so.
- 20. On November 21, 2005, Defendant advised FragranceNet that, regardless of whether FragranceNet brought an action against it for copyright infringement, Defendant intended to complete a full-scale revision of its Web site by April 1, 2006 which would include removal of the infringing photographs.
- 21. For months FragranceNet attempted to resolve the copyright infringement matter, but to no avail. In or around May 2006, when FragranceNet realized that its efforts were futile, it commenced an action for copyright infringement. FragranceNet's complaint was amended on May 24, 2006 to add claims for copyright infringement against John Does 1-20 and make other conforming changes and then again on July 27, 2006 to include a listing of the photographs, by product name, at issue in the action. Defendant served its answer to FragranceNet's Second Amended Complaint on August 7, 2006.
- 22. In or about December 2005 January 2006, Defendant removed the Photographs from its Web site. Upon information and belief, the Photographs have not appeared on Defendant's Web site since February 2006.
- 23. Thereafter, FragranceNet discovered that Defendant inserted "fragrance net" and "fragrancenet," FragranceNet's house mark and most valued trademark, into the metatags for its Web site, including but not limited to the web pages for Defendant's home page, women's

fragrance page and men's fragrance page. Metatags are codes in the sublevel of web pages that are invisible to the average user. Metatags are used to provide an Internet search engine with information about the content of a page and are used to attract Internet search engines to a Web site and to provide the basis for ranking and displaying the results of a search that is conducted for a word or term contained in a metatag.

- 24. FragranceNet also discovered that when a search for "fragrance net," "fragrancenet.com" or other variations of FragranceNet's mark are conducted by various search engines, including Google, an advertisement for Defendant's Web site, www.fragrancex.com, appears immediately proximate to the search results screen, accompanied by a link to Defendant's Web site. That form of advertising on Google is conducted through Google's AdWords Program and the advertisements are called "Sponsored Links." Upon information and belief, the only way to have one's Sponsored Link appear on a search results screen is by having bid on a keyword that triggers the appearance of a Sponsored Link. Sponsored Links typically appear at the very top of the screen and also in the right margin. Annexed hereto as Exhibit D is a copy of the search results screen for searches run on Google for "fragrance net" and "fragrancenet" showing Defendant as a Sponsored Link.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Internet search providers offering Sponsored Links programs, such as the AdWords Program offered by Google, permit an advertiser to specify whether the keywords it bids on should be applied to its Web site as a "broad match," "phrase match," "exact match" or "negative match." Google's "broad match" option is the default option. Under a "broad match," an advertiser will appear as a Sponsored Link when a search is run for its keyword and any plural or relevant variation of that keyword. "Phrase Matching" permits an advertiser to bid on a phrase such as "designer fragrance." The advertiser's

Sponsored Link will appear whenever a search is conducted for that phrase even if the phrase is used in combination with other words, such as "bad designer fragrance." An "exact match" works like a phrase match but will display the advertiser's Sponsored Link only when the exact phrase is the subject of the search, not when the phrase is run in combination with any other words. A "negative match" is treated as a reverse exact match and permits an advertiser to specify particular circumstances in which it will not trigger a Sponsored Link. For example, if an advertiser bid on the keyword "designer fragrance" and opted for a "phrase match" but did not want its Sponsored Link to appear when a consumer types in "bad designer fragrance," it could update its Google account to include a negative match for "bad designer fragrance."

- 26. Defendant has bid on certain keywords that cause its Sponsored Link to appear when a search is run for "fragrance net," or other variations of FragranceNet's mark. That is clear because Defendant's Web site appears as a Sponsored Link when a consumer conducts an Internet keyword search, i.e., types in, "fragrance net" or other variations of FragranceNet's mark.
- 27. Defendant has failed and refused to use a negative match, a well-known and commonly used mechanism, to avoid having Defendant's Web site appear as a Sponsored Link when consumers run an Internet keyword search for "Fragrance Net" or other variations of Plaintiff's mark.
- 28. Consumers who conduct Internet keyword searches for "fragrance net," "fragrancenet.com" or other variations of FragranceNet's mark are looking for FragranceNet's Web site and, to the extent that they are diverted to Defendant's Web site, such diversion is improper.

- 29. FragranceNet owns U.S. Trademark Application for FRAGRANCENET, Serial No. 78/715,464, and has used FRAGRANCENET in connection with its online retail sales services in the fields of perfumery, aromatherapy, candles and hair care preparations since at least January 27, 1997. FragranceNet does not and never has used FRAGRANCENET as a product name or on packaging.
- 30. FragranceNet markets and promotes its retail services under the mark
 FRAGRANCENET through widely circulated national magazines, newspaper inserts, direct
 mailings and other advertising venues.
- 31. In connection with its FRAGRANCENET mark, FragranceNet has sold millions of dollars of perfume products through its Web site, which accepts orders directly from consumers.
- 32. As a result of FragranceNet's extensive efforts in marketing, advertising and maintaining the high quality of its retail services, FragranceNet has acquired a reputation for high quality retail sales and customer services offered under its FRAGRANCENET mark and customers recognize the FRAGRANCENET mark as identifying the retail services provided by FragranceNet. As a result, the FRAGRANCENET mark is an asset of substantial value and evidences the goodwill of FragranceNet.
- 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant knew, or should have reasonably expected, that its conduct would have the consequence of misdirecting consumers to its Web site rather than to FragranceNet's Web site by providing a computer user with direct access, through a link, to its Web site despite the fact that the consumer/computer user had typed in FragranceNet's name and was searching for FragranceNet's Web site.

- Upon information and belief, Defendant reasonably expected to receive revenue 34. from interstate or international commerce by misdirecting consumers to its Web site rather than to FragranceNet's Web site through the use of "fragrance net" and "fragrancenet" in its metatags and through its purchase of the keywords in Google's Adwords program with the full knowledge that they will cause Defendant to appear as a Sponsored Link when a consumer conducts an Internet keyword search for "fragrance net," "fragrancenet.com" or other variations of FragranceNet's mark.
- 35. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringing conduct has caused confusion among persons familiar with FragranceNet's services and business and is likely to continue to cause such confusion.
- The use of FRAGRANCENET in the metatags underlying Defendant's Web site 36. to attract search engines to its Web site when an Internet keyword search is run for "fragrance net" or other variations of FragranceNet's mark is likely to cause confusion among members of the relevant consuming public and/or to deceive those members into believing that Defendant somehow is associated with or related to FragranceNet's retail services and/or that Defendant somehow is associated with or sponsored by FragranceNet.
- Upon information and belief, FragranceNet has lost and will continue to lose 37. revenue from Defendant's unauthorized use of FRAGRANCENET in the metatags underlying its Web site and through its bidding on, purchase and use of keywords in Google's Adwords program that Defendant knows will cause Defendant to appear as a Sponsored Link when an Internet keyword search is conducted for "fragrance net," "fragrancenet.com" or other variations of FragranceNet's mark. Upon information and belief, FragranceNet will sustain further damages as a result of such wrongful conduct.

- 38. Upon information and belief, Defendant's wrongful conduct has deprived and will continue to deprive FragranceNet of opportunities for expanding its goodwill.
- 39. Upon information and belief, unless enjoined by this court, Defendant will continue its course of conduct and wrongfully use, infringe upon and otherwise profit from the sale of products through the unauthorized use of FragranceNet's mark.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's acts alleged herein, FragranceNet has already suffered irreparable injury and has sustained lost profits.
 - 41. FragranceNet has no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT I (Copyright Infringement)

- 42. FragranceNet repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 41 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. The actions of Defendant and John Does infringe FragranceNet's exclusive rights, inter alia, to reproduce and distribute the Photographs, all in violation of FragranceNet's exclusive copyrights under 17 U.S.C. §§ 104(a) and 106. Such acts constitute copyright infringement under 17 U.S.C. § 501.
- 44. Defendant's and John Does' unlawful acts set forth above constitute willful copyright infringement.
- 45. Defendant's unlawful acts set forth above constitute contributory copyright infringement.
- 46. The actions of Defendant and John Does complained of herein have caused, are causing and, unless enjoined by the Court, will continue to cause irreparable harm, damage and injury to FragranceNet.

47. The actions of Defendant and John Does complained of herein have damaged, are damaging and will continue to damage FragranceNet in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT II (Trademark Infringement)

- 48. FragranceNet repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 41 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 49. Defendant has knowingly and willfully infringed Plaintiff's FRAGRANCENET trademark by the unauthorized use of "fragrance net" and "fragrancenet" in the metatags underlying Defendant's Web site and through its bidding on, purchase and use of keywords in Google's Adwords program that Defendant knows will cause Defendant to appear as a Sponsored Link when an Internet keyword search is conducted for "fragrance net," "fragrancenet.com" or other variations of FragranceNet's mark.
- 50. Defendant's acts have caused and are likely to cause confusion or mistake by consumers with respect to Defendant's affiliation, connection or association with FragranceNet and with FragranceNet's services.
- 51. Defendant's activities violate Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), and are likely to cause consumer confusion as to the origin, sponsorship or approval of Defendant's services.
- 52. Defendant's wrongful use of FRAGRANCENET is usurping the reputation and goodwill associated with FragranceNet's mark and constitutes unfair competition.
- 53. As a result, the actions of Defendant complained of herein have caused, are causing and, unless enjoined by the Court, will continue to cause irreparable harm, damage and injury to FragranceNet.

- The actions of Defendant complained of herein have damaged, are damaging and 54. will continue to damage FragranceNet in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 55. The actions of Defendant complained of herein constitute an exceptional case under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a) of the Lanham Act.

COUNT III (Trademark Dilution)

- FragranceNet repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-41 56. of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- By reason of FragranceNet's continued use and the substantial marketing and 57. advertising of the retail services it offers in connection with FRAGRANCENET, the FRAGRANCENET mark is widely recognized by the general consuming public as a designation of the source of FragranceNet's retail services and, as such, it is a distinctive and famous mark as defined by Section 43(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)(1).
- Defendant's willful acts complained of herein have caused and are likely to cause 58. dilution of FRAGRANCENET in that Defendant's unauthorized use of "fragrance net" and "fragrancenet" in the metatags underlying its Web site and the purchase of certain keywords in Google's Adwords program that will result in the unauthorized display of Defendant's Web site as a Sponsored Link when an Internet keyword search is conducted for "fragrance net," "fragrancenet.com" or other variations of FragranceNet's mark are likely to lessen the ability of FRAGRANCENET to identify and distinguish FragranceNet's retail services from those of others, including those that Defendant offers, and to erode and whittle away the sourceidentifying qualities of FragranceNet's mark.

- 59. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, FragranceNet has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount presently unknown, but to be determined at the time of trial.
 - FragranceNet has no adequate remedy at law. 60.

COUNT IV (Passing Off)

- FragranceNet repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 41 61. of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendant's acts, as set forth herein, are likely to cause consumer confusion as to 62. the origin, sponsorship or approval of Defendant's services and constitute passing off in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

COUNT V (Common Law Trademark Infringement)

- FragranceNet repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 41 63. of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendants acts, as set forth herein, constitute trademark infringement under the 64. common law of the State of New York.
- 65. By reason of Defendant's activities complained of herein, FragranceNet has been and is being damaged by such acts, and damage will continue unless Defendant's acts are enjoined by this Court.

COUNT VI (New York General Business Law § 133)

FragranceNet repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 - 41 66. of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

67. The conduct by Defendant, as alleged herein, constitutes the assumption, adoption or use in bad faith and with the intent to deceive or mislead the public, for advertising purposes or purposes of trade, of a designation or style, or a symbol or simulation thereof which may deceive or mislead the public as to the identity of Defendant or its goods and services or as to the connection of Defendant with FragranceNet, in violation of Section 133 of the New York General Business Law.

COUNT VII (State Law Dilution)

- 68. FragranceNet repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-41 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 69. Defendant's use of Plaintiff's FRAGRANCENET mark has diluted and is likely to dilute that mark in violation of N.Y. General Business Law § 360-l.
- 70. As a result, FragranceNet has and will continue to suffer damage to the value of its FRAGRANCENET mark unless remedied by this Court.

COUNT VIII (Injury to Business Reputation)

- 71. FragranceNet repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 41 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 72. Defendant's intentional acts have caused and will continue to cause FragranceNet substantial harm and irreparable injury, and will dilute the value of Plaintiff's FRAGRANCENET trademark.
- 73. As a result, FragranceNet has and will continue to suffer damages to the value of its business reputation and its FRAGRANCENET trademark unless remedied by this Court.

Case 2:06-cv-02225-JFB-AKT

(Common Law Unfair Competition and Misappropriation)

- FragranceNet repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 41 74. of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- The acts of Defendant as alleged herein constitute unfair competition and 75. misappropriation of FragranceNet's common law trademark rights under the laws of the State of New York and of every state in which Defendant does business, which will cause irreparable injury to FragranceNet unless enjoined by this Court. Because of the care, skill and marketing efforts used by FragranceNet, FragranceNet has acquired a reputation for quality and reliability for its retail services and consumers have come to identify the FRAGRANCENET mark as identifying retail services emanating exclusively from FragranceNet. As a result, that mark represents a valuable asset to FragranceNet.
- Defendant has acted knowingly and with reckless disregard of FragranceNet's 76. valuable rights, in bad faith and with the intent of deceiving the public.
- Defendant's infringement has resulted in, is designed to and will likely cause 77. confusion and deceive purchasers of online perfume products into believing that they are purchasing a product from FragranceNet rather than from Defendant. Defendant's use of "fragrance net" and "fragrancenet" in its metatags and participation in Google's Adwords program, by bidding on and purchasing certain keywords that will result in the unauthorized display of Defendant's Web site as a Sponsored Link when an Internet keyword search is conducted for "fragrance net," "fragrancenet.com" or other variations of FragranceNet's mark, when the products and services Defendant offers in connection with its use of those marks is not sponsored or authorized by FragranceNet, and the quality of those products and services is not

assured by FragranceNet, has caused and is causing damage to the valuable assets, reputation and goodwill of FragranceNet.

COUNT X (Common Law Passing Off)

- 78. FragranceNet repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 41 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 79. Defendant's acts, as set forth herein, are likely to cause consumer confusion as to the origin, sponsorship or approval of Defendant's services and constitute common law passing off.

COUNT XI (Unjust Enrichment)

- 80. FragranceNet repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 41 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 81. As a result of Defendant's intentional acts as described herein, including through Defendant's unauthorized use of FragranceNet's mark and wrongful diversion of customers from FragranceNet's Web site to Defendant's Web site, Defendant has been unjustly enriched and has benefited at FragranceNet's expense.
- 82. Equity and good conscience require restitution of any sums Defendant has obtained as a result of its acts as described herein.

WHEREFORE, FragranceNet respectfully prays for judgment to be entered against Defendant and John Does:

- a. preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendant and John Does, their officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and those persons acting in concert with them from further violating 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq. and inducing, contributing to, causing, engaging or authorizing any individual or entity to perform any of the aforementioned acts;
- b. preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and those persons acting in concert with it from further violating 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) and (c) and inducing, contributing to, causing, engaging or authorizing any individual or entity to perform any of the aforementioned acts, and from engaging in common law infringement, dilution, unfair competition and misappropriation.
- c. directing Defendant and John Does to account to FragranceNet for any and all gains, profits and advantages derived from Defendant's and John Does' wrongful acts complained of;
- d. directing each Defendant to file with the Court and serve upon attorneys for FragranceNet within thirty (30) days after entry of any preliminary or permanent injunction issued by this Court, a sworn written statement as provided in 15 U.S.C. § 1116 setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with the injunction;
- e. awarding damages to FragranceNet against Defendant and John Does, according to proof at trial;
- f. awarding FragranceNet punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;

- g. awarding FragranceNet its costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
- h. finding that FragranceNet's claim for trademark infringement constitutes an exceptional case under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a) of the Lanham Act and awarding FragranceNet its attorneys fees; and
 - i. granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

FragranceNet hereby demands a trial by jury pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 38.

Dated: May ____, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP

By: ______Robert L. Sherman (RS 5520)

Rebecca Myers (RM 8437)
75 E. 55th Street
New York, New York 10022
212-318-6000

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FRAGRANCENET.COM, INC.

EXHIBIT A

Certificate of Registration



This Certificate issued under the seal of the Copyright Office in accordance with title 17, United States Code, attests that registration has been made for the work identified below. The information on this certificate has been made a part of the Copyright Office records.

Marybeth Peters

Register of Copyrights, United States of America

Form V For a Work of the United STATES	A be Viscal Arts COPYRIGHT O	FICE
VA 1-34	0-784	
EFFECTIVE DATE OF	REGISTRATIO	N
Morten	21	2006

1 100	e of This Work V	•		NATURE	OF THIS WORK	₩ 8es in	structions	
Fr	agranceNet.com Photographs	(Group 1)			Photographs			
Pres	rious or Alteractive Titles ♥ Toup Registration/Photos (appr	roximately	975 photos in gro	ouping)				1
Dul	Jantina as a Contribution If the wor	k was published			oe, give information	about the c	ollective w	ork in which the
	ribution appeared. This of Collective World blication as a contribution to a							•
	ublished in a periodical or serial give: Volt		Number V	lane!)ole ♥	On Pa	ers Y	
			for hire	of:	DATES OF BIL		DEATE at Died	
a. F	FragranceNet.com, Inc. Ant	hony H	ulser	•		······································		
	es this constribution to the work a	uthor's Nation	nlity or Domicile		Was This Auth			to the Work. If the answer to extra
**W	MUK MINOS THE WISE 1	B Chizes of			Anonymoust		□ No	of these questions is "Yes," see delailed
	□ No	Domiciled	is United States		Paoudooymous?	TT 10t	□ №	instructions.
Ns	ture of Authorship Check appropriate	box(es).See in:	structions				(4.1	
	☐ 3-Dimensional sculptu		Мар	☐ Technical do	wing			
	2-Dimensional anyori	k E	Photograph	□ Text				
	☐ Reproduction of work	ofart [Hewelry design	☐ Architectural	work			
N	ame of Author V			De	tes of Birth and D			
b					Year Bom ▼	,	ear Died	•
		A with only Wintle	mality or Domicile		Was This Aut	hor's Cos	t-ihrein-	to the Work
w	as this contribution to the work a	UNITED A TAMBLE	WINDLESS OF TAXABLE SAME			~~		
	work made for hire"?	Name of Country	• .		Anonymous?	□ Yes	□ No	If the answer to elt of these questions
	work made for bire*?	Name of Country OR Chines of				□ Yes		If the answer to elt
,	work assets for bire"?	OR Citizen of Dornkiles	IIa		Авонувова?	□ Yes	□ No	If the answer to eli- of these questions "Yes," see detailed
,	work made for hire"? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ No inture of Authorship Check approximations	Name of Country OR Citizen of Domiciles as box(cs).See 1	nstructions	f) Technical dr	Anonymous? Pseudonymous?	□ Yes	□ No	If the answer to eli- of these questions "Yes," see detailed
,	work assets for bire"?	Name of Country OR Citizen of Domiciles as box(es).See I	IIa	D Technical dr	Anonymous? Pseudonymous?	□ Yes	□ No	If the answer to eli- of these questions "Yes," see detailed

Case 2:06-cv-02225-JFB-AKT Document 30-2 Filed 05/04/2007 Page 22 of 26 *Amended by C.O. on authority of phone call from Catherine M. Clayton, Esq. on March 7, 2006. FORM VA **EXAMINED BY** CHECKED BY FOR CORRESPONDENCE COPYRIGHT Yes OFFICE USE ONLY DO NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE. IF YOU NEED MORE SPACE, USE A SEPARATE CONTINUATION SHEET. PREVIOUS REGISTRATION Has registration for this work, or for an earlier version of this work, already been made in the Copyright Office? a.

This is the first published edition of a work previously registered in unpublished form. b. [] This is the first application submitted by this author a copyright claimant. c. [] This is a changed version of the work, as shows by space 6 on this application. Year of Registration Y If your nature is "Yes," give: Previous Registration Number V DERIVATIVE WORK OR COMPILATION Complete both space for and 60 for a derivative work; complete only 60 for a compilation. n. Premisting Materiel Identify any premisting work or works that this work is based on or incosporates. h. Material Added to This Work Cive a beinf, general statement of the material that has been added to this work and in which copyright is claimed. DEPOSIT ACCOUNT 15 the registration fee is to be charged to a Deposit Account antablished in the Copyright Office, give marte and number of Account. CORRESPONDENCE Give name and address to which correspondence about this application should be sent, Name/Address/Apt/Cay/State/ZIP V Catherine M. Clayton, Esq. Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, 75 East 55th Street, New York, NY 10022 (212)230.7781 Area code and daytime telephone number (212) 318.6084 Fax number Email ris@paulhastings.com CERTIFICATION. I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am the Other copyright claimant check only one > owner of exclusive right(s)
 FragranceNet.com, Inc.
 Sauthorized spent of FragranceNet.com, Inc.
 Hume of such or other copyright delirant, or owner of sucturive right(s). he statements made by me in this application are correct to the best of my knowledge. of the work identified in this application and the Typed or printed same and date V II this application gi s s date of publication in space 3, do not sign and submit it before that date.

Dennis M. Apfel 2/10/06

Handwikten algoainne (2) V

Certificate will be mailed in window #IXValope to this

address:

X

Catherine H. Clayton, Esq. Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP

75 East 55th Street ChySuste/ZiP V

New York, NY 10022

at in the man

* '& T

Library of Congress Copyright Office 101 Independence Avenue, S.E. Weekinglos, E.C. 200559-6000

*17 U.S.C. § 508(e): Any person who knowingly makes it with the application, what be fined not more than \$2,500. ne representation of a treatment fact in the application for copyright registration provided for by section 400, or in any w

Certificate of Registration



This Certificate issued under the seal of the Copyright Office in accordance with title 17, United States Code, attests that registration has been made for the work identified below. The information on this certificate has been made a part of the Copyright Office records.

Marybeth Peters
Register of Copyrights, United States of America

<u>C</u>	Form V For a Work of UNITED STATE	A Visual Arts S COPYRIGHT OF	PFICE.
RE	VA 1-	-340 <i>-</i> -78	
Ē,	Fet	AUNDON (SARTHES)	2006

	ie of Thie Work 🔻		NATURE C	F THIS WORK	.¥ 8te ini	iructions	
F	ragranceNet.com Photographs (Group 2)		1	hotographs			
Pri (evices or Alternative Thics ♥ Group Registration/Photos (approximately 8	46 photos in group	ing)				
Pu	blication as a Contribution If this work was published a minution appeared. Title of Collective Work \(\mathbf{Y}\)	as a contribution to a period	lical, serial, or collection	, give information :	boot the c	dective wo	rk in which the
	ublication as a contribution to a Web site						
_	oublished in a periodical or serial give: Valuane.	Namber ♥	Launa Da	te Y	On Pa	es V	
	AME OF AUTHOR V *Employer FragranceNet.com, Inc. Anthony Hu	for hire o	f:	DATES OF BIR Year Born V		DEATH ar Died	7
a				Was This Author	مدت مد	Thurston to	s the Wark
	as this contribution to the work a Attinor's Nation work made for library.	unity or Domicile		Anonymous?	□ Yes	□ No	If the answer to elf of these questions
	☐ Yes OR Citizen of	united States	***************************************	Pseudonymous?		□ No	"Yes," see delmied
	□ No Domiciled	in United States					Instructions.
N	sture of Authorship Check appropriate box(es). See In	structions					
		Мар	Technical draw	ing			
		Photograph	□ Text				
	☐ Reproduction of work of art ☐	l lewelry design	☐ Architectural v	vocik			
	Name of Author V		Date	es of Birth and D Year Born V		ear Died	Y
D							
7	Was this contribution is the work a Author's Natio	mality or Domicile		Was This Auti	ox's Con	tribution	
E	MALE ROOCE IN MILE !	,		Авовушонк?	☐ Yes	□ No	If the answer to s of these question
)	☐ No OR Current			Pseudonymous?	☐ Yes	□ No	"Yes," see details instructions.
	D 140						
-							
	Nature of Authorable Cock appropriate box(os). See I		☐ Technical dray	ving			
	☐ 3-Dimensional sculpture	□ Мар	☐ Technical draw	ring			
of nd _ aten]	☐ 3-Dimensional sculpture	□ Мар		ving			
nd _ atem]	3-Dimensional sculpture 2-Dimensional atwork Reproduction of work of art	☐ Map ☐ Photograph ☐ Jewelry design	☐ Text ☐ Architectural v	work	appro	o xi mat	ely
nd _ sies]	 3-Dimensional sculpture 2-Dimensional actwork 	☐ Map ☐ Photograph	☐ Text ☐ Architectural v	work Particular Work			cely _2004
ales 1	☐ 3-Dimensional sculpture ☐ 2-Dimensional stwork ☐ Reproduction of work of art Year in Which Creation of Take Work Was Campleted Take Work Was 2004 Take information 2004 Year in all cames. COPYRIGHT CLAIMANT(S) Name and address ness	☐ Map ☐ Photograph ☐ Jewelry design Date and Nation of Fin Complete this information. If this work has been published.	Text Architectural value of This last Poblication of This last last last last last last last las	Particular Work Doy	25		2004
nd alex]	☐ 3-Dimensional sculpture ☐ 2-Dimensional satwork ☐ Reproduction of work of aut Year in Which Creation of This Work Was Campleted This information suct be given year in all cases. COPYRIGHT CLAIMANT(S) Name and address ness author given in space 2. ▼	☐ Map ☐ Photograph ☐ Jewelry design Dute and Nation of Fin Complete this informational ONLY if this work less been published.	Text Architectural value of This is positive of This is bloom in it. United State act is the same as the	Particular Work Doy 3	25 ATION F 2 1, 20	Y	<u>2004</u> D
nd alex]	☐ 3-Dimensional sculpture ☐ 2-Dimensional stwork ☐ Reproduction of work of art Year in Which Crestion of This Work Was Completed This information sout to given a sout to given in a comme. COPYRIGHT CLAIMANT(S) Name and address ness author given in space 2. ▼ FragranceNet.com, Inc., 104 Parkway Dri	☐ Map ☐ Photograph ☐ Jewelry design Dute and Nation of Fin Complete this informational ONLY if this work less been published.	Text Architectural value of This is positive of This is bloom in it. United State act is the same as the	Particular Work Doy 3	25 ATION F 2 1, 20	ECEIVE	<u>2004</u> D
alen]	☐ 3-Dimensional sculpture ☐ 2-Dimensional satwork ☐ Reproduction of work of aut Year in Which Creation of This Work Was Campleted This information suct be given year in all cases. COPYRIGHT CLAIMANT(S) Name and address ness author given in space 2. ▼	☐ Map ☐ Photograph ☐ Jewelry design Dute and Nation of Fin Complete this informational ONLY if this work less been published.	Text Architectural value of This is positive of This is bloom in it. United State act is the same as the	Particular Work Doy 3	ATION P 21 70 EPOSIT	RECEIVE O 6 RECEIVE	
nd alex]	☐ 3-Dimensional sculpture ☐ 2-Dimensional stwork ☐ Reproduction of work of art Year in Which Crestion of This Work Was Completed This information sout to given a sout to given in a comme. COPYRIGHT CLAIMANT(S) Name and address ness author given in space 2. ▼ FragranceNet.com, Inc., 104 Parkway Dri	☐ Map ☐ Photograph ☐ Jewelry design Dute and Nation of Fin Complete this informati ONLY I this work has been published. It be given even if the claim ive South, Hauppau	Text Architectural value of This less than the Month of I United State same as the age, New York,	APPLOONE DOWN	ATION P 21 70 EPOSIT	ECEIVE	

*Amended by C.O. on authority of phone call from Catherine M. Clayton, Esq. EXAMINED BY FORM VA on March 7, 2006. CHECKED BY FOR CORRESPONDENCE COPYRIGHT Yes OFFICE USE ONLY do not write above this like. If you need more space, use a separate continuation sheet. PREVIOUS REGISTRATION has registeration for his work, or for an earlier version of this work, airway bear made in the Copyright Office? C Yes G No If your answer is "Yos," with is sentier rejutation being sought? (Check appropriate box.) W a. [] This is the first published edition of a work previously registered in empublished form. 5. [] This is the first application asbusited by this author at copyright claimant. c. [] This is a changed version of the work, so shows by space 6 on this application. If your nexwer is "Yes," give: Previous Registration Number T Year of Registration V DERIVATIVE WORK OR COMPILATION Complete both space 5a and 6b for a derivative work; complete only 6b for a compilation.

a. Presideting Material Idealify any praexisting work or works that this work is based on or incorporates. \(\textstyle{\ b. Material Added to This Work Give a brief, proceed stancered of the material that has been added to this work and in which copyright is claimed. V DEPOSIT ACCOUNT If the registration fee is to be charged to a Deposit Account southfished in the Copyright Office, give some and sember of Account. а CORRESPONDENCE Give mens and address to which correspondence about this application should be sent, Name/Address/Api/City/State/ZIP V Catherine M. Clayton, Esq. Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, 75 East 55th Street, New York, NY 10022 (212,230.7781 Area code and deptime telephone number (212) 318.6084 Fax number Email rls@paulhastings.com CERTIFICATION* I, the undersigned, hereby cutily that I am the Dauthor Other copyright claimant Owner of exclusive right(s) E authorized agent of FragranceNet.com, Inc. of the work identified in this application and that the statements made by me in this application are correct to the best of my knowledge. Typed or printed name and data V If this application gives a date of publication in space 3, do not sign and aubmit it before that date. 2/10/06 Dennis M. Apfel Date Handwritten signature (X) ¥ x Certificate Here V Catherine H. Clayton, Esq. will be Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP malled in window Humber/Street/Api V uer de Ming toe in shack or ex o Mesinter of Copyrights envelope 75 East 55th Street to this address: City/State/ZIP W

Hear York, NY 10022

Library of Compress
Copyright Office
Copyright Office
(In Interpretation Avenue, S.E. Westington, D.C. 20068-8000)

"17 U.S.C. \$ Songet Any parson who investigate a late representation of a systemic local in the application for copyright registration provided for by section 40%, or in any written statement, steel in connection with the specification, after the production, after the specific connection with the specific connection and the specific connection with the specific connection.

Certificate of Registration



This Certificate issued under the seal of the Copyright Office in accordance with title 17, United States Code, attests that registration has been made for the work identified below. The information on this certificate has been made a part of the Copyright Office records.

Register of Copyrights, United States of America

Form VA
For a Wark of the Visual Arts VA 1-340-782

EFFECTIVE DATE OF REGISTRATION

Thk	e of This Work 🔻				NATURE	OF THIS WOR	K W See ins	projects	
Fr	ragranceNet.com Phot	ographs (Grou	p3)		•	Photographs			
G	vious or Alternative Titles froup Registration/Pho	otos (approxim							
Pub	dication as a Contribution	l lithi work was p lective Work V	blished a	a a contribution to a peri	odical, serial, or collect	ion, give information	about the co	diective wo	ork he which the
Pu	iblication as a contribu	ntion to a Web	site						
If po	ublished in a periodical or serial	give: Volume V		Number ♥	Inut)	Date V	On Pag	pr± V	
an I	ME OF AUTHOR ▼ FragranceNet.com, Inc	*Employ : Matthew	er :	for hire o lser	of:	DATES OF BI Year Born W		DEATH ar Died	
Wa "M	as this contribution to the wor ork made for hire?? E) Yes	Name of C	Nation ourity tizes of	ality or Domicile		Was This Auti Anonymous?	□Yes	□No	to the Work If the enswer to either stitues quantions is "Yes," see detailed
	□ No	UH (midled i	United States		Pseudonymous?	□Yes	□ No	Latinesions
N.	☐ 3-Dimensio ☐ 2-Dimensio ☐ Reproduction ame of Author ▼	-	Ø	Map Photograph Jewelry design	☐ Text ☐ Architectural	work tes of Birth and Year Born		car Died	*
ol ol ol	Tas this contribution to the we work made for Mrc ? Yes No	Name of OR		nality or Dosalcile		Was This Au Anonymous? Pseudonymous	☐ Yes	iribation D No D No	to the Work If the scewar to shi of these questions "Yes," see detailed instructions.
tes N	lature of Authorship Coc).See is	nstructions	☐ Technical dr	swing			
	2-Dimensi	•		Photograph	☐ Text	•			
	☐ Reproduct	tion of work of art		☐ Jewelry design	☐ Architectura	work.			
				Date and Nation of F			appre	cinat Y	el <u>y</u> 005
	Year in Which Creation of Th Completed 2005	is Work Was This informat must be given Year is all cases.	· b	Complete this Information of the State of th	United Sta	ies			
a	2005 2007 COPYRIGHT CLAIMAN	This informat must be given Year is all cases.		has been published.		APPL	CATION F	10.6	Ð
a	2005	This informat must be given by all cases. Year is all cases. IT(S) Name and add	rest must	has been published.	United Sta	APPL TAX ONE I	CATION F 12121 DEPOSITE 21.20))) 6 PECEIVE	:D

*Amended by C O on authority of phone call from Catherine M. Clayton, Esq. on March 7, 2006.

cn /, 2	006.	EXAMINED BY	8	FORM VA
		. CHECKED BY		
		CORRESPONDENC		FOR
		Yes	·- (OPYRIGHT OFFICE
				USE
	NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE. IF YOU NEED MORE SP			
REVIOUS REG	ISTRATION Has registration for this work, or for an earlier version of	this work, skreety been made in the Copyright (Nine?	
Yes Do No Hy	our account is "Yes," why is snother rejectation being sample? (Check age t published edition of a work previously registered in unpublished form.	CONTRACT V		· 3
This is the firm	t application submitted by this author a copyright claiment.			
[] This is a chara	not version of the work, as shown by space & on this application.		•	
your mount is "Y		Registration V		
ERIVATIVE V . Proceduling Main	WORK OR COMPILATION Complete both space fit and 6h for a derial identify any precalating work or works that this work is based on or is	ecorporates. V	,	C
•				U
		•	ä	See instructions
	•			before completing this space.
Material Added	to This Work Give a briof, general strement of the material that has been	n added to this work and in which copyright is t	laimed. V	•
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)
		-	· ·	- /
	OUNT if the registration for it to be charged to a Deposit Account estab	lished in the Copyright Office, give name and a	ember of Account.	
Name V	٠	count Number V	•	
				3
CORRESPON	DENCE Give name and address to which convespondence about this appl	ication should be sent. Harne/Address/Aps/City	State/ZIP ▼	_
Catherine k	A. Clayton, Esq.	•		9
Paul, Hasti	ngs, Janofsky & Walker LLP, 75 East 55th Street, No	ew York, NY 10022		,
	•	•		
	time telephone russber (212) 318.6084	Fax number (212	230.7781	
	•	•		
Email ris@	paulhastings.com			
CERTIFICAT	TON* 1, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am the			_
	•	D suthor		$ \times$
	check only one 🕨 \prec	Other copyright claimant	•	U
		Downer of excusive right(s) Fragrance N	et.com, Inc.	
	`	O other copyright claimant O mener of exclusive zight(s) If authorized agent of FringranceN Henre of author or other	copylight chaimers, or owner of exclusive rigi	4 ₹1.4
of the work ide	ntified in this application and that the galaments made by me in thi	s application are opered to the best of my k	sowiedge.	
	nd name and date V If this application tives a date of publication in	space 3, do not sign and submit it before t	val date.	•
Dennis M.			2/13/06	
				:
Han	dwritten alguature (X) ▼ / / V			
X.	1/ 1			•
	/		e 101 t 10 t	
Certificate	Harre V Continue W Clayton You		Complete all necessary species Sign your application in specie \$	_ (_
will be	Carnerine to maleone sed.	lter ITD		
mailed in window	Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Wa	rker, rrk	1-Application (exp.	
envelope	-		Application toru Novembrutable Sling fee in check or order psychia to Papiniar of Copyrights Deposit sessesses	MACKETON PARK DOS NOTES TO SHAPE THE
to this address:	75 East 55th Street			
#444 444·	Hew York, HY 10022		Library of Congress Copyright Office 101 Independence Avenue, S.E. Withdram D.C. 2005S ACCO	series has been
	DEA TATE OF TAKE		101 Prospendence Avenue, S.E.	(All parts)

17 U.S.C. 9 folloy: Any person who imputingly makes a false representation of a metadal fact in the application for copyright registeration provided for by section 406, or in any writing statement field in connection in the section of the first provided for the section of the